

In the United States District Court for The Northern District of Oklahoma

SEP 19 2024

Heldi D. Campbell, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Muscogee (Creek) Nation,

Plaintiff

VS.

Citiy of Tulsa, et al.,

Defendants

Case # 23 - CV - 490 - JDR - SH

Motion to Intervene

pursuant to Rule 24

Comes now RICHARD DAWSON , pro se, who moves this
Honorable Court to intervene in the above-entitled matter, alleges and states:
1. That your Movant is an enrolled member of MUCOGEE CREEK TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA.
2. That your movant was convicted of a crime committed within the boundaries of <u>MUSCOGEL</u>
CRUK Nation in the County District of TULSA
Case #CF-2003-4540 after arrest by TULSA POLICE DEPARTM officers.
3. That your movant has an interest in the outcome of these proceedings: LIBERTY INTEREST
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS INTEREST, DUE PROCESS OF LAW INTEREST,
16,8, and 19 U.S.C.A. INTERESTS, TRIBAL SOVEREIGNTY INTEREST
Wherefore your movant prays for an order allowing him to intervene in these proceedings and
such further relief as may seem just.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed
this 19 th day of June, 2024 at Helena, Oklahoma.
DOC#
JCCC unit <u>2-43</u> 216 n. Murray St. Helena, Ok 73741 (572) 568 – 6000
Certificate of Mailing
I certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing to Tulsa City Attorney,
; Muscogee (Creek) Nation Attorney
General,
On this day of June, 2024
BIChan & DAJESO

Signature

Case 4:23-cv-00490-JDR-SH Abocument 108 Hilled in USD AD/OK on 09/19/24 Page 3 of 5 RICHARD DAWSON TULSA COUNTY, OK AND C5#CF-2003-4540 DISTRICT COURT * CONCLUSORY NARRATIVE * A. The Facts of the case are NOT properly consistant with honest police work, they are NOT CONSISTANT with prosecutorial inte-EGRITY by the DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE, the PUBLIC DEFENDER and TRIER OF FACT (the JUDGE) should have done a better JOB OF UPHOLDING MR. DAWSONS RIGHTS to A FAIR TRIAL as well as his 6th and 14th, U.S.C.A. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS and his FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS according to the OKLAHOMA CONS-TITUTION; A FLAGRANT INSTANCE OF the UN-CONSTITUTIONAL COND-TIONS DOCTRINE IS sadly on display concerning this INSTANT CASE. B. THE MANIFEST WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE STANDARD" was Nowhere NEAR the PRO-RATA level neccessary to indicate Guilt or Culpability; improper evidence FABRICATION By the TULSA POLICE Detectives coupled with Failure to move FOR evidence Suppression by Public defender only fueled the ILLEGAL CONVICTION machine that certain courts in OK. REPRESENT. AS CITED: Kolar VS. Sangamon County, State of ILL, (7th cir, 1985)
SEE: OREGON VS. KENNEDY (U.S. 1982) State VS. Mosley (OKIA, CR. 2011)

C. Snell VS. Tunnell (10th cir, 1996) AUSTIN VS. U.S. (SCOTUS, 1993) Deprivation of MR. DAWSONS RIGHT TO FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS coupled with multiple HARMFUL ERRORS and CLEAR ERRORS led to the wrongful convic-TION/excessive Sentence we are burdened by today;

O.S. T. 22\$ 660/O.S. T. 22\$ 952/OKST RPC Rule 3.8-8.4

OK, CONST, ART: 2\$7\$6/ART, 2\$20

O.S. T. 22\$ 1193-\$1181

WELLS VS. BROWN (Ltd. 1989) MONROE YS. PAPE (SCOUS, 1961)

Considering the totality of the CIRCUMStances, the ATTORNEYS in ASSIST-ANCE, CIVIL RIGHTS GROUPS as well as the LEGALY TRAINED PERSON preparing these documents all Represent that MR. DAWSON is indeed a VICTIM of UN-CONSTITUTIONAL, EXCESSIVE MECHANICAL APPLICATION OF THE LAW, /s/ Dacy Wildy (2) B. /s/Richard DAWSON

Case 4:23-cv-00490-JDR-SHA Gotument 108 Filed in USDE ND/OK on 09/19/24 Page 4 of 5 RICHARD DAWSON TULSA COUNTY CS. #CF-2003-4540 * CONCLUSORY NARRATIVE * DISTRICT COURT As exidenced by the Relevant Police Reports of (TPD), the TUSA COUNTY D. A.3 REPORTS and DISCOVERY FILE, the COURT REPORTERS TRANSCRIPTS (COURT MINUTES), WITNESS STATEMENTS (OR Jack thereof), Defendants exculpatory statements as well as the TOTALITY OF THE CURCUMSTANCES INDICATE that A VERY ROAL MANIFEST INJUSTICE has been rendered in Regard to the University Of The COURT ONE Whose Manifesters and the Court of the Cou to the Highly QUESTIONABLE, ERRONEOUS illegal, UN-CON STITUTIONAL CONVICTION + EXCESSIVE INCARCERATION OF THIS DEFENDANT/PLAINTIFF; Richard Dauson has Suffered, Languished, endured and agonizED IN OKLAHOMAS WORST PRISONS FOR OVER 21 years FOR a CRIME he did NOT COMMITT, NOR did the TULSA DISTRICT COURT have ary way to prove he committed; it should also be demonstrated that MR. DAWSON is a consider member of Muscoccecrak Tribe. The very invidious outrageous government misconduct organized in A monopolistic, illegal fashion by the TULSA POLICE DEPT. was Rife with extraordinary instances of Police Misconduct, ORGANIZED OFFICIAL OPPRESSION and FLAGRANT VIOLATIONS OF MR. DAWSON'S 5th, 6th, 14th and 8th amendment rights of the U.S. CO-NSTITUTION, AS EMPHASIZAL. BÍVENS VS, SIX, UNKNOWN AGENTS OF FEDERAL BURGAU OF NARCOTICS, Reed vs. State (Ok. CR. 1979) Ower vs. City of Independence Md, (SCOUS, 1980)
BUCKLEY vs. FITZSIMMONS JOHNSON vs. State (OK. CR. 1977) TITLE 18: U.S. CRIMINAL CODES 241-242 POLICE MISCONDUCT "COMBINED WITH RACIALY DISPARAGING PROC-EDURAL MALPRACTICE, PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT compounded by extraordinary racial/demographic malice have convicted and incarcepated a ACTUAL INNOCENT MAN IN a UN-JUST, UN-FAIR, technicaley imprecise manner as well as a EXCESSIVE SENTENCE that does TRULY SHOCK THE CONSCIENCE,

Richard Dowson
Soc # 1158 ac
Tecc
The N. Murray St.
Heling ok 73741
The Many St.
Th

LEGAL MAIL

RECEIVED

Heidi D. Campbell, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Northern District Court Gert Selaher Federal Bldg. and Courthouse 533 W. 4th Street, Rm. 411 Julsa, Oklahoma 74103

OSAMORY 9/17/04